

## REMARKS

1. Claims 1 and 18 have been amended in the present response. Claims 1, 7, 8 and 18-20 remain in the application.

Claims 1 and 18 are amended herein in similar fashion to claims 1 and 4 of a corresponding European Patent Office (EPO) application that has been indicated to be allowable. Amended claim 1 recites a system for providing remote caller profile service for a subscriber, whereby caller profile information relating to the subscriber may be delivered to a called party terminal characterized in that the call may be executed from a remote terminal other than the one to which the caller subscribes. Amended claim 18 similarly recites a method for remote activation of caller profile service characterized in that activation occurs from a remote terminal other than the one to which the caller subscribes.

2. Claim 18 was rejected in the parent application under 35 U.S.C. 112 because of insufficient antecedent basis for the term “said caller profile database server.” Claim 18 has been amended herein to recite “a caller profile database server” and thereby overcome the rejection.

3. Claims 1, 7, 8 and 18-20 were rejected in the parent application under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jordan et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,313,035). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Jordan describes a method of providing person locator service for called subscribers, whereby a calling party may reach a called subscriber wherever the subscriber may be located. Responsive to a caller keying in a particular code unique to the subscriber, the network consults a database to determine the availability of the called party subscriber to receive the call. If the called party subscriber is unavailable, the network may play an announcement indicating that the subscriber is in transit or unavailable. If the called party subscriber is available, the network may automatically complete the call to the subscriber. It is noted, Jordan discloses various enhancements for use in conjunction with the person locator service. As one example, the called party subscriber may record a personal message to be played to person locator callers. As another example, a call completion number may be provided to certain person locator callers.

Respectfully, even if these various enhancements could be considered to disclose a "remote caller profile service," these enhancements do not disclose or suggest a remote caller profile service for calls executed by the subscriber from a remote terminal as claimed. Rather, the enhancements are for calls directed to called party subscribers at a remote terminal. The enhancements, if provided, are provided to person locator callers, not to called party terminals called by the subscriber. Accordingly, claims 1, 7, 8 and 18-20 patentably distinguish over Jordan et al. and are in condition for allowance.

4. In view of the above amendments and remarks, entry of the amendments and a notice of allowance of claims 1, 7, 8 and 18-20 is respectfully requested. The Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees that may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Lucent Technologies Deposit Account No. 12-2325.

Respectfully submitted,

By: Steven R. Santema  
Steven R. Santema  
Attorney for Applicants  
Registration No. 40,156  
Phone: (630) 979-7006

Date: 4/8/04

Send All Correspondence to

Lucent Technologies Inc.  
Docket Administrator - Room 3J-219  
101 Crawfords Corner Road  
Holmdel, New Jersey 07733-3030